Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: HOWARD BURNHAM EL

Campus ID: 071801001

District Name: BURNHAM WOOD CHARTER SCHOOL DISTRICT

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

STAAR Percent At or A	bove Level				African American (2016) or F				Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3																	
Reading	2016 2015	72% 74%	84% 87%	84% 87%	- *	85% 85%	91%	*	*	- *	-	*	84% 79%	81% 80%	88% 91%	78% 83%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015	74% 74%	84% 79%	84% 79%	- *	83% 77%	91%	*	*	- *	- -	*	84% 68%	85% 63%	78% 78%	92% 80%	- -
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016 2015	74% 71%	83% 83%	83% 83%	*	81% 82%	* 92%	-	*	*	- *	*	78% 70%	74% 68%	85% 91%	81% 75%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	72% 71%	83% 82%	83% 82%	*	81% 80%	* 92%	- -	*	*	- *	*	82% 69%	66% 71%	78% 82%	88% 81%	- -
Writing	2016 2015	68% 67%	74% 83%	74% 83%	*	73% 82%	* 92%	- -	*	*	- *	83%	67% 79%	57% 68%	76% 89%	73% 78%	- -
Grade 5 Reading	2016	80%	89%	89%	*	87%	100%	-	*	-	-	*	89%	88%	94%	83%	-
Mathematics	2016	85%	86%	86%	*	85%	100%	-	*	-	-	*	81%	88%	88%	83%	-
Science	2016	73%	77%	77%	*	74%	100%	-	*	-	-	*	70%	75%	82%	71%	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 2015	74% 73%	81% 83%	83% 83%	89% 75%	81% 81%	97% 93%	* -	88% 100%	*	*	62% 47%	79% 73%	75% 70%	84% 86%	81% 79%	-
Reading	2016 2015	72% 74%	80% 86%	85% 85%	100%	84% 84%	96% 93%	* -	83% 100%	*	- *	50% *	84% 74%	80% 75%	89% 91%	81% 79%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015	75% 73%	85% 80%	84% 80%	83%	83% 78%	96% 93%	*	100% 100%	*	- *	75% *	82% 69%	78% 67%	82% 80%	87% 81%	- -

				Africa			American		Pacific	Two or More	Special	Econ				
	State	District	Campus	s America	an Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Writing 20 20		73% 83%	74% 83%	*	73% 82%	* 92%	-	*	*	*	83%	67% 79%	57% 68%	76% 89%	73% 78%	-
Science 20	16 77%	83%	77%	*	74%	100%	-	*	-	-	*	70%	75%	82%	71%	-
STAAR Percent at Final Level I	l or Abov	е														
All Grades All Subjects 20 20		46% 46%	44% 47%	39% 50%	43% 44%	59% 58%	*	63% 87%	*	- *	18% 16%	37% 30%	36% 31%	45% 49%	44% 44%	- -
Reading 20 20		49% 49%	50% 51%	67% *	47% 46%	71% 64%	*	50% 100%	*	- *	25% *	42% 34%	44% 33%	53% 50%	46% 51%	- -
Mathematics 20 20		47% 45%	44% 44%	33%	44% 43%	50% 50%	*	67% 83%	*	- *	17% *	38% 29%	38% 31%	41% 43%	47% 45%	-
Writing 20 20		40% 41%	44% 44%	*	43% 40%	* 58%	-	*	*	- *	17% *	40% 26%	29% 28%	49% 60%	40% 29%	-
Science 20	16 44%	43%	30%	*	27%	50%	-	*	-	-	*	21%	13%	28%	33%	-
STAAR Percent at Level III Adv	anced															
All Grades All Subjects 20 20		17% 18%	18% 20%	28% 8%	17% 19%	26% 30%	* -	31% 27%	*	- *	6% 16%	15% 15%	12% 14%	18% 21%	19% 19%	- -
Reading 20 20		18% 21%	22% 27%	50% *	19% 26%	38% 43%	* -	33% 33%	*	- *	8%	17% 20%	14% 18%	23% 28%	20% 27%	- -
Mathematics 20 20		18% 19%	19% 17%	17% *	19% 17%	21% 21%	*	50% 33%	*	- *	0%	17% 14%	14% 15%	18% 19%	21% 16%	- -
Writing 20 20		12% 11%	17% 11%	*	18% 10%	* 25%	- -	*	*	- *	17% *	20% 9%	11% 4%	16% 13%	19% 9%	-
Science 20	16 15%	15%	8%	*	6%	20%	-	*	-	-	*	2%	0%	6%	10%	-
STAAR Participation (All Grade	es)															
All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%		100% 100 100% 98			100% 100%		- 100%	100% 90%	100% 98%	100% 99%		100% 98%	
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%		100% 100 100% 99			100% 100%		- 100%	100% 89%	100% 99%	100% 100%		100% 99%	

Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 98%	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 100%	*	100% 100%	* 100%	- 100%	100% 89%	100% 97%	100% 98%	100% 99%	100% 97%	-
Writing	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	* 100%	100% 99%	* 100%	-	* 100%	*	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	100% 98%	100% 100%	- -
Science	2016	99%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-	*	-	-	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
STAAR Participation Results by	/ Assessm	nent Typ	e for Stu	dents Se	erved in	Special	Educatio	n Sett	ings (All	Grades)							
Reading Tests																	
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	98%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	13%	41%	42%	*	36%	-	-	-	-	-	42%	17%	*	60%	29%	-
Accommodations	2016	73%	59%	58%	*	64%	_	_	_	-	_	58%	83%	*	40%	71%	_
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	0%	0%	*	0%	_	_	_	_	_	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	_
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	0%	0%	*	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	99%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	12%	57%	42%	*	36%	-	-	-	-	-	42%	17%	*	60%	29%	-
Accommodations	2016	75%	43%	58%	*	64%	-	_	_	-	-	58%	83%	*	40%	71%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	0%	0%	*	0%	_	-	_	_	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	_
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	0%	0%	*	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	•	ELL (Current ELL & Monitored) +	Total Met	Total Eligible	Percent of Eligible Measures Met
Performance Status - State			•								•		•	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%			
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ	4	4	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ	4	4	100

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Writing Science Social Studies Total	All Students Y Y	African American	Hispanic Y Y	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv Y Y	Special Ed	ELL (Currer & Monitored N		Total Met 3 3 0 14	Total Eligible 4 3 0 15	Percent of Eligible Measures Met 75 100
Performance Status - Federal Federal Target Reading Mathematics	87% N N	87%	87% N N	87%	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	87% N N	87%	87% N N	n/a n/a			
Participation Status Target Reading Mathematics Total	95% Y Y	95%	95% Y Y	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95% Y Y	95%		95% Y Y	4 4 8	4 4 8	100 100 100
Federal Graduation Status (Targ Graduation Target Met Reason Code *** Total	get: See Reas	son Codes)											0 0	0 0	
District: Met Federal Limits on A Reading Alternate 1% Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics Alternate 1% Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Total	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	ssessments													
Overall Total													22	23	96

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates	Students	American	mspanic	Wille	ilidiali	Asiaii	isianuei	Naces	Disauv	Lu	Worldorea	(Guileili)
Reading # at Level II Satisfactory Standard	229	6	194	23	*	5	*	-	116	6	69	n/a
Total Tests	265	6	227	24	*	6	*	-	136	12	82	78
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	86%	100%	85%	96%	*	83%	*	-	85%	50%	84%	n/a
Mathematics												

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	222	5	187	23	*	6	*	-	111	9	63	n/a
Total Tests	265	6	227	24	*	6	*	-	136	12	82	78
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	84%	83%	82%	96%	*	100%	*	-	82%	75%	77%	n/a
Writing		_								_		
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	69	*	61	*	-	*	*	-	30	5	20	n/a
Total Tests	93	*	84	*	-	*	*	-	45	6	35	35
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	74%	*	73%	*	-	*	*	-	67%	83%	57%	n/a
Science		*										
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	68		54	10	-	*	-	-	35	*	19	n/a
Total Tests	89	*	74	10	-	*	-	-	51	*	25	21
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	76%	*	73%	100%	-	*	-	-	69%	*	76%	n/a
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-,
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessments		_				_						
Number Participating	274	6	235	24	*	6	*	-	141	12	n/a	85
Total Students	274	6	235	24		6		-	141	12	n/a	85
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	*	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Assessments	074	0	005	0.4	*	0	*		4.44	40	1	0.5
Number Participating	274	6	235	24	*	6	*	-	141	12	n/a	85 05
Total Students	274	6	235	24	*	6	*	-	141	12	n/a	85
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	*	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates			-									
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation	n Rate (Gr 9-12	2): Class of 20)15									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation	n Rate (Gr 9-12	2): Class of 20)14									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate (Gr 9	9-12): Class of	2014										
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
 When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
 Indicates there are no students in the group.
 Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Reading			•								,	
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: No Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Identification: No Focus School Reason: N/A

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No **High Progress School:** No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	25.3	92.7%	90.3%	74.7%
Masters	2.0	7.3%	9.7%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports
The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		24	0	24
Total Number of Classes		24	0	24
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	24	0	24
	Percent	100.00%		100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%		0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers					
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)				
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0				
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0				
Non-renewable	0	0				
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0				
District Teaching	0	0				
Temporary	0	0				

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
Highly Qualified	0	0	
Not Highly Qualified	0	0	

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment